## THE SL(2)-TYPE AND BASE CHANGE

#### OMER OFFEN AND EITAN SAYAG

ABSTRACT. The SL(2)-type of any smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation of  $GL_n$  over a p-adic field was defined by Venkatesh. We provide a natural way to extend the definition to all smooth and irreducible representations. For unitarizable representations we show that the SL(2)-type of a representation is preserved under base change with respect to any finite extension. The Klyachko model of a smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_n$  depends only on the SL(2)-type of  $\pi$ . As a consequence we observe that the Klyachko model of  $\pi$  and of its base-change are of the same type.

### 1. Introduction

Let F be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In [Ven05], Venkatesh assigned a partition of n, the SL(2)-type of  $\pi$ , to any smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_n(F)$ . For a representation of Arthur type the SL(2)-type encodes the combinatorial data in the Arthur parameter. In general, the SL(2)-type is defined in terms of Tadic's classification of the unitary dual.

The reciprocity map for  $GL_n(F)$  is a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representations of  $GL_n(F)$  to the set of isomorphism classes of n-dimensional Weil-Deligne representations (cf. [HT01] and [Hen00]). Applying the reciprocity map we observe that there is a natural way to extend the definition of the SL(2)-type to all smooth and irreducible representations of  $GL_n(F)$  (see Theorem 4.1 and Remark 1). The reciprocity map also allows the definition of base change with respect to any finite extension E of F. It is a map  $bc_{E/F}$  from isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representation of  $GL_n(F)$  to isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representation of  $GL_n(E)$  that is the 'mirror image' of restriction with

Date: December 7, 2008.

In this research the first named author is supported by THE ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (grant No. 88/08).

respect to E/F of Weil-Deligne representations. The content of Theorem 6.1, our main result, is that for any smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_n(F)$  the representations  $\pi$  and  $bc(\pi)$  have the same SL(2)-type.

In [OS07b], [OS07a], [OS08] we studied the Klyachko models of smooth irreducible representations of  $GL_n(F)$ , that is, distinction of a representation with respect to certain subgroups that are a semi direct product of a unipotent and a symplectic group. Our results are also described in terms of Tadic's classification and depend, in fact, only on the SL(2)-type of a representation. For example, a smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_{2n}(F)$  is  $Sp_{2n}(F)$ -distinguished, i.e. it satisfies  $\text{Hom}_{Sp_{2n}(F)}(\pi,\mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ , if and only if the SL(2)-type of  $\pi$  consists entirely of even parts (and in this case  $\text{Hom}_{Sp_{2n}(F)}(\pi,\mathbb{C})$  is one dimensional [HR90, Theorem 2.4.2]). For unitarizable representations, our results on Klyatchko models are reinterpreted here in terms of the SL(2)-type. As a consequence we show that Klyachko models are preserved under base-change with respect to any finite extension. In particular, we have

**Theorem 1.1.** Let E/F be a finite extension of p-adic fields. A smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_{2n}(F)$  is  $Sp_{2n}(F)$ -distinguished if and only if  $bc_{E/F}(\pi)$  is  $Sp_{2n}(E)$ -distinguished.

The rest of this note is organized as follows. After setting some general notation in Section 2, in Section 3 we recall the definition of the reciprocity map. In Section 4 we recall the definition of Venkatesh for the SL(2)-type of a unitarizable representation and extend it to all smooth irreducible representations. We recall (and reformulate in terms of the SL(2)-type) our results on symplectic (and more generally on Klyachko) models in Section 5. Our main observation Theorem 6.1 and its application to Klyachko models Corollary 6.1 are stated in Section 6 and proved in Section 7. The main theorem says that base change respects SL(2)-types and its corollary says that base change respects Klyachko types. Theorem 1.1 is a special case where the Klyachko type is purely symplectic.

### 2. NOTATION

Let F be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some prime number p and let  $|\cdot|_F: F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$  denote the standard absolute value normalized so that the inverse of uniformizers are mapped to the size of the residual field. Denote by  $W_F$  the Weil group of F and by  $I_F$  the inertia subgroup of  $W_F$ . We normalize the reciprocity map  $T_F: W_F \to F^\times$ , given by local class field theory, so that geometric Frobenius elements are mapped to

uniformizers. The map  $T_F$  defines an isomorphism from the abelianization  $W_F^{ab}$  of  $W_F$  to  $F^{\times}$  (this is the inverse of the Artin map). Let  $|\cdot|_{W_F} = |\cdot|_F \circ T_F$  denote the associated absolute value on  $W_F$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$  the characteristic function of a set  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\Omega)$  be the set of finite multisets of elements in  $\Omega$ , that is, the set of functions  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  of finite support. When convenient we will also denote f by  $\{\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_1,\omega_2,\ldots,\omega_2,\ldots\}$  where  $\omega\in\Omega$  is repeated  $f(\omega)$  times. Let  $\mathcal{P}=\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{Z}_{>0})$  be the set of partitions of positive integers and let

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \{ f \in \mathcal{P} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k f(k) = n \}$$

denote the subset of partitions of n. For n,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  let  $(n)_m = m \mathbf{1}_n = \{n, \ldots, n\}$  be the partition of nm with 'm parts of size n'. Let odd:  $\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be defined by

$$\operatorname{odd}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(2k+1),$$

i.e. odd(f) is the number of odd parts of the partition f.

- 3. Reciprocity and base-change for  $GL_n(F)$
- 3.1. Weil-Deligne representations. An n-dimensional Weil-Deligne representation is a pair  $((\rho, V), N)$  where  $(\rho, V)$  is an n-dimensional representation of  $W_F$  that decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible representations and  $N: V \to V$  is a linear operator such that

$$|w|_{W_F} N \circ \rho(w) = \rho(w) \circ N, \ w \in W_F.$$

The map  $((\rho, V), N) \mapsto ([\rho], f)$ , where  $[\rho]$  denotes the isomorphism class of the n-dimensional representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $W_F$  and  $f \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  is the partition of n associated to the Jordan decomposition of N, defines an injective map on isomorphism classes of Weil-Deligne representations. Denote its image by  $\mathcal{G}_F(n)$ . In this way we identify the set  $\mathcal{G}_F(n)$  with the set of isomorphism classes of n-dimensional Weil-Deligne representations. Let  $P_{F,n}: \mathcal{G}_F(n) \to \mathcal{P}(n)$  be the projection to the second coordinate. Let  $\mathcal{G}_F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_F(n)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of all finite dimensional Weil-Deligne representations and let  $P_F: \mathcal{G}_F \to \mathcal{P}$  be the map such that  $P_{F|\mathcal{G}_F(n)} = P_{F,n}$ .

3.2. The local Langlands correspondence. Let  $\mathcal{A}_F(n)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of smooth and irreducible representations of  $GL_n(F)$  and set  $\mathcal{A}_F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ . For every  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F$  we denote by  $\omega_{\pi}$  the central character of (any representation in the isomorphism class of)  $\pi$ . Fix a non trivial additive character  $\psi$  of F. Due to Harris-Taylor

[HT01] and independently to Henniart [Hen00] there exists a unique sequence of bijections

$$\operatorname{rec}_{F,n}:\mathcal{A}_F(n)\to\mathcal{G}_F(n)$$

for all  $n \ge 1$  satisfying the following properties:

- $(3.1) \operatorname{rec}_F(\chi) = \chi \circ T_F;$
- $(3.2) L(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, s) = L(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi_1) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_F(\pi_2), s);$
- (3.3)  $\epsilon(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, s, \psi) = \epsilon(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi_1) \otimes \operatorname{rec}_F(\pi_2), s, \psi);$
- (3.4)  $\det \circ \operatorname{rec}_F(\pi) = \operatorname{rec}_F(\omega_{\pi});$
- $(3.5) \operatorname{rec}_F(\pi^{\vee}) = \operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)^{\vee}.$

Here  $\chi \in \mathcal{A}_F(1)$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_F$ ,  $\pi^{\vee}$  is the contragredient of  $\pi$ ,  $\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)^{\vee}$  is the dual of  $\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)$  and  $\operatorname{rec}_F: \mathcal{A}_F \to \mathcal{G}_F$  is such that  $\operatorname{rec}_{F|\mathcal{A}_F(n)} = \operatorname{rec}_{F,n}$ .

3.3. Expressing  $\operatorname{rec}_F$  in terms of  $\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_F(n)$  be the subset of isomorphism classes of supercuspidal representations and let  $\mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_F(n)$  be the subset of isomorphism classes  $([\rho], f)$  such that  $\rho$  is irreducible and  $f = \mathbf{1}_n = \{n\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}(n)$  is identified with the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible and n-dimensional representations of  $W_F$ . It follows from the work of Harris-Taylor and independently of Henniart that there exists a unique sequence of bijections

$$\operatorname{rec}_{F,n|\mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}(n)} = \operatorname{rec}_{F,n}^{\circ} : \mathcal{A}_F(n) \to \mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}(n)$$

satisfying (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5). The work of Zelevinsky [Zel80] allows the extention of  $\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}$  to the map  $\operatorname{rec}_F$  on  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . This is also explained in [Hen85] and we now recall the construction of  $\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}$  in terms of  $\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}$ .

For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  and every isomorphism class  $\varpi = [\pi] \in \mathcal{A}_F$  (resp.  $\varrho = ([\rho], f) \in \mathcal{G}_F$ ) let  $\varpi[s] = [\pi \otimes |\det|_F^s]$  (resp.  $\varrho[s] = ([\rho \otimes |\cdot|_{W_F}^s], f)$ ). A segment in  $\mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}$ ) is a set of the form

$$\Delta[\sigma, r] = \{\sigma[\frac{1-r}{2}], \sigma[\frac{3-r}{2}], \dots, \sigma[\frac{r-1}{2}]\}$$

(resp.

$$\Delta[\rho, r] = \{\rho[\frac{1-r}{2}], \rho[\frac{3-r}{2}], \dots, \rho[\frac{r-1}{2}]\})$$

for some  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}$  (resp.  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}$ ) and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}'$ ) denote the set of all segments in  $\mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}$ ) and let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathcal{S})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathcal{S}')$ ). The bijection  $\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ} : \mathcal{A}_F^{\circ} \to \mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}$  defines a bijection  $\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}'$  given by  $\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ}(\Delta[\sigma, r]) = \Delta[\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ}(\sigma), r]$  and a bijection  $\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ} : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$  given by  $\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ}(a)(\mathrm{rec}_F^{\circ}(\Delta)) = a(\Delta), \Delta \in \mathcal{S}$ .

In [Zel80, Section 6.5] Zelevinsky defines a bijection  $a \mapsto \langle a \rangle$  from  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . The Zelevinsky involution is defined in [Zel80, Section 9.12] as an involution on the Grothendick group associated with  $\mathcal{A}_F$ . It is proved by Aubert [Aub95], [Aub96] and independently by Procter [Pro98] that the Zelevinsky involution restricts to a bijection from  $\mathcal{A}_F$  to itself that we denote by  $\pi \mapsto \pi^t$ . In [Zel80, Section 10.2] Zelevinsky defines a bijection  $\tau : \mathcal{O}' \to \mathcal{G}_F$  as follows. For a segment  $\Delta[\rho, r] \in \mathcal{S}'$  where  $\rho \in \mathcal{G}_F^{\circ}(t)$  let

$$\tau(\Delta[\rho, r]) = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho, (r)_t)$$

and for  $a' \in \mathcal{O}'$  set

$$\tau(a') = \bigoplus_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{O}'} \tau(\Delta')$$

where for  $([\rho_1], f_1), \ldots, ([\rho_m], f_m) \in \mathcal{G}_F$  the direct sum is given by

$$([\rho_1], f_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus ([\rho_m], f_m) = ([\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_m], f_1 + \cdots + f_m).$$

The reciprocity map  $rec_F$  is given by

$$\operatorname{rec}_F(\langle a \rangle^t) = \tau(\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(a)), \ a \in \mathcal{O}.$$

# 4. The SL(2)-type of a representation

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_F^u(n)$  the subset of  $\mathcal{A}_F(n)$  consisting of all isomorphism classes of unitarizable representations and let  $\mathcal{A}_F^u = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ . For  $[\pi_1], \ldots, [\pi_m] \in \mathcal{A}_F$  we denote by  $\pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_m$  the representation parabolically induced from  $\pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_m$  and by  $[\pi_1] \times \cdots \times [\pi_m]$  its isomorphism class.

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}$  and integers n, r > 0 let

$$\delta[\sigma, n] = \langle \Delta[\sigma, n] \rangle^t,$$

$$a(\sigma, n, r) = \{\Delta[\sigma[\frac{1-r}{2}], n], \Delta[\sigma[\frac{3-r}{2}], n], \cdots, \Delta[\sigma, n](\frac{r-1}{2})\} \in \mathcal{O}$$

and

$$U(\delta[\sigma, n], r) = \langle a(\sigma, n, r) \rangle.$$

Tadic's classification of the unitary dual of  $GL_n(F)$  [Tad86] implies that if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F^{\circ} \cap \mathcal{A}_F^u$  then  $U(\delta[\sigma, n], r) \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  and that for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  there exist  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \mathcal{A}_F^o$  and integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_m, r_1, \ldots, r_m > 0$  such that

(4.1) 
$$\pi = U(\delta[\sigma_1, n_1], r_1) \times \cdots \times U(\delta[\sigma_m, n_m], r_m).$$

It further follows from [Tad95, Lemma 3.3] that

(4.2) 
$$U(\delta[\sigma, n], r)^t = U(\delta[\sigma, r], n).$$

The SL(2) of a representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  of the form (4.1) is defined in [Ven05, Definition 1] to be the partition

$$(4.3) \{(r_1)_{n_1}, \dots, (r_m)_{n_m}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** The SL(2)-type of a representation  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  equals  $P_F(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi^t))$ .

Remark 1. Theorem 4.1 allows us to define the SL(2)-type of any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F$  by the formula  $P_F(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi^t))$ . Note further that given a reciprocity map (local Langlands conjecture), this provides a recipe to define the SL(2)-type of an irreducible representation for any reductive group!

*Proof.* Based on Tadic's classification of the unitary dual of  $GL_n(F)$ , the proof of Theorem 4.1 is merely a matter of following the definitions. For convenience, we provide the proof. The key is in the following simple observations.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  be of the form (4.1). Then

(4.4) 
$$\operatorname{rec}_{F}(\pi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \tau(\Delta[\sigma_{i}[\frac{r_{i}+1}{2}-j], n_{i}])$$

and

(4.5) 
$$\pi^t = U(\delta[\sigma_1, r_1], n_1) \times \cdots \times U(\delta[\sigma_m, r_m], n_m) \in \mathcal{A}_F^u.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_i = a(\sigma_i, r_i, n_i)$ . It follows from (4.2) that

$$\pi = \langle a_1 \rangle^t \times \dots \times \langle a_m \rangle^t = (\langle a_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle a_m \rangle)^t$$

and since t is an involution on  $\mathcal{A}_F$  that  $\langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_m \rangle \in \mathcal{A}_F$ . Thus, it follows from [Zel80, Proposition 8.4] that  $\langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_m \rangle = \langle a_1 + \cdots + a_m \rangle$ . In other words  $\pi = \langle a_1 + \cdots + a_m \rangle^t$  and therefore by definition

$$\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi) = \tau(\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(a_1 + \dots + a_m)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \tau(\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(a_i)).$$

The identity (4.4) now follows from the definition of  $\tau(\operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(a_i))$ . Note that (4.2) implies that

$$\pi^t = U(\delta[\sigma_1, r_1], n_1) \times \cdots \times U(\delta[\sigma_m, r_m], n_m)$$

and the classification of Tadic therefore implies that  $\pi^t \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$ . Thus we get (4.5).

Applying (4.4) to  $\pi^t$  and comparing with (4.3) Theorem 4.1 follows from the definitions.

From now on for every  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F$  we denote by

(4.6) 
$$\mathcal{V}(\pi) = P_F(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi^t))$$

the SL(2)-type of  $\pi$ .

### 5. Klyachko models

For positive integers r and k denote by  $U_r$  the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices in  $GL_r(F)$  and by  $Sp_{2k}(F)$  the symplectic group in  $GL_{2k}(F)$ . Fix a decomposition n = r + 2k. Let

$$H_{r,2k} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} u & X \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} : u \in U_r, X \in M_{r \times 2k}(F), h \in Sp_{2k}(F) \}.$$

Let  $\psi$  be a non trivial character of F. For  $u = (u_{i,j}) \in U_r$  let

$$\psi_r(u) = \psi(u_{1,2} + \dots + u_{r-1,r})$$

and let  $\psi_{r,2k}$  be the character of  $H_{r,2k}$  defined by

$$\psi_{r,2k} \left( \begin{array}{cc} u & X \\ 0 & h \end{array} \right) = \psi_r(u).$$

We refer to the space

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,2k} = \operatorname{Ind}_{H_{r,2k}}^{GL_n(F)}(\psi_{r,2k})$$

as a Klyachko model for  $GL_n(F)$ . Here Ind denotes the functor of non-compact smooth induction.

In [OS08, Corollary 1] we showed that for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u(n)$  there exists a unique decomposition

$$n = r(\pi) + 2k(\pi)$$

such that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{GL_n(F)}(\pi, \mathcal{M}_{r(\pi), 2k(\pi)}) \neq 0$$

and that in fact  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{GL_n(F)}(\pi, \mathcal{M}_{r(\pi), 2k(\pi)})) = 1.$ 

**Definition 1.** For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$ , the Klyachko type of  $\pi$  is the ordered pair  $(r(\pi), 2k(\pi))$ .

In fact, for  $\mathcal{A}_F^u$  [OS07a, Theorem 8] provides a receipt in order to read the Klyachko type off Tadic's classification. Based on (4.3), our results can be reinterpreted by the formula

(5.1) 
$$r(\pi) = \operatorname{odd}(\mathcal{V}(\pi)), \ \pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u.$$

## 6. Base change-The main results

Let E be a finite extension of F. Denote by  $\operatorname{res}_{E/F,n}: \mathcal{G}_F(n) \to \mathcal{G}_E(n)$  the map defined by  $\operatorname{res}_{E/F,n}(([\rho],f)) = ([\rho_{|W_E}],f)$ . For  $n \geq 1$  the base change  $\operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi) \in \mathcal{A}_E(n)$  of  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{rec}_E(\operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi)) = \operatorname{res}_{E/F}(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)).$$

**Theorem 6.1.** Let E/F be a finite extension of p-adic fields and let  $\pi$  be a smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation of  $GL_n(F)$ . Then  $bc_{E/F}(\pi)$  is a smooth, irreducible and unitarizable representation of  $GL_n(E)$  and

$$\mathcal{V}(\pi) = \mathcal{V}(bc_{E/F}(\pi)),$$

i.e.  $\pi$  and  $bc_{E/F}(\pi)$  have the same SL(2)-type.

As a consequence we have the following.

Corollary 6.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 we have

$$r(\pi) = r(bc_{E/F}(\pi)),$$

i.e.  $\pi$  and  $bc_{E/F}(\pi)$  have the same Klyachko type.

Corollary 6.1 is straightforward from Theorem 6.1 and (5.1).

## 7. Proof of the main result

**Lemma 7.1.** Let E/F be a finite extension. For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F^{\circ} \cap \mathcal{A}_F^u$  there exist  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \mathcal{A}_E^{\circ} \cap \mathcal{A}_E^u$  such that

$$\mathrm{bc}_{E/F}(\sigma) = \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_m.$$

Proof. Recall that a representation in  $\mathcal{A}_F^{\circ}$  is unitarizable if and only if its central character is unitary. Let  $\rho$  be the irreducible representation of  $W_F$  such that  $\operatorname{rec}_F(\sigma) = ([\rho], \mathbf{1}_n)$ . It follows from (3.4) that  $\rho$  has a unitary central character and therefore it has a unitary structure. Thus, the restriction  $\rho_{|W_E}$  to  $W_E$  also has a unitary structure and therefore each of its irreducible componencts has a unitary central character. The lemma follows by applying (4.4) to  $\operatorname{res}_{E/F}(\operatorname{rec}_F(\sigma))$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** Let E/F be a finite extension and let  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  then  $bc(\pi) \in \mathcal{A}_E^u$  and

(7.1) 
$$\operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi^t) = \operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi)^t.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$  be of the form (4.1). By Lemma 7.1 there exist  $\sigma_{i,k} \in \mathcal{A}_E^{\circ}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, m, k = 1, \ldots, t_i$  such that

$$\mathrm{bc}_{E/F}(\sigma_i) = \sigma_{i,1} \times \cdots \times \sigma_{i,t_i}.$$

Let  $\rho_i = \operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(\sigma_i)$  and  $\rho_{i,k} = \operatorname{rec}_F^{\circ}(\sigma_{i,k})$ . Thus,

$$\operatorname{res}_{E/F}(\rho_i) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t_i} \rho_{i,k}.$$

It follows from (4.4) that

(7.2) 
$$\operatorname{res}_{E/F}(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t_i} \tau(\Delta[\sigma_{i,k}[\frac{r_i+1}{2}-j], n_i]).$$

On the other hand, let

$$\Pi = \times_{i=1}^{m} \times_{k=1}^{t_i} U(\delta[\sigma_{i,k}, n_i], r_i)$$

Since  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$ , the classification of Tadic implies that  $\Pi \in \mathcal{A}_E^u$  and by (4.4) applied to E instead of F we have

(7.3) 
$$\operatorname{rec}_{E}(\Pi) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_{i}} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t_{i}} \tau(\Delta[\sigma_{i,k}[\frac{r_{i}+1}{2}-j], n_{i}]).$$

Comparing (7.2) with (7.3) we obtain that  $\Pi = \mathrm{bc}_{E/F}(\pi)$  and in particular that  $\mathrm{bc}_{E/F}(\pi) \in \mathcal{A}_E^u$ . Applying this to  $\pi^t$  expressed by (4.5) gives

$$bc_{E/F}(\pi^t) = \times_{i=1}^m \times_{k=1}^{t_i} U(\delta[\sigma_{i,k}, r_i], n_i).$$

Applying (4.5) now to  $bc_{E/F}(\pi)^t$  we obtain the identity (7.1).

It is straightforward from the definitions that

(7.4) 
$$P_F(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi)) = P_E(\operatorname{rec}_E(\operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi)), \ \pi \in \mathcal{A}_F.$$

For  $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F^u$ , applying (7.4) to  $\pi^t$  and then (7.1) we get that

$$P_F(\operatorname{rec}_F(\pi^t)) = P_E(\operatorname{rec}_E(\operatorname{bc}_{E/F}(\pi)^t).$$

The identity  $\mathcal{V}(\pi) = \mathcal{V}(bc_{E/F}(\pi))$  is now immediate from (4.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

### References

- [Aub95] Anne-Marie Aubert. Dualité dans le groupe de Grothendieck de la catégorie des représentations lisses de longueur finie d'un groupe réductif p-adique. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 347(6):2179–2189, 1995.
- [Aub96] Anne-Marie Aubert. Erratum: "Duality in the Grothendieck group of the category of finite-length smooth representations of a *p*-adic reductive group" [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 6, 2179–2189; [Aub95]]. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(11):4687–4690, 1996.
- [Hen85] Guy Henniart. Le point sur la conjecture de Langlands pour GL(N) sur un corps local. In *Séminaire de théorie des nombres, Paris 1983–84*, volume 59 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 115–131. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [Hen00] Guy Henniart. Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$  sur un corps p-adique. Invent. Math., 139(2):439-455, 2000.
- [HR90] Michael J. Heumos and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic-Whittaker models for Gl<sub>n</sub>. Pacific J. Math., 146(2):247–279, 1990.
- [HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties, volume 151 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.
- [OS07a] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. Global mixed periods and local klyachko models for the general linear group. *Internation Math. Reaseach Notices*, 2007:article ID rnm136, 25 pages, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnm136, 2007.

- [OS07b] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. On unitary representations of  $GL_{2n}$  distinguished by the symplectic group. J. Number Theory, 125(2):344-355, 2007.
- [OS08] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. Uniqueness and disjointness of klyachko models. J. of Functional Analysis, 254:2846–2865, 2008.
- [Pro98] Kerrigan Procter. Parabolic induction via Hecke algebras and the Zelevin-sky duality conjecture. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 77(1):79–116, 1998.
- [Tad86] Marko Tadić. Classification of unitary representations in irreducible representations of general linear group (non-Archimedean case). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4), 19(3):335–382, 1986.
- [Tad95] M. Tadić. On characters of irreducible unitary representations of general linear groups. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 65:341–363, 1995.
- [Ven05] Akshay Venkatesh. The Burger-Sarnak method and operations on the unitary dual of GL(n). Represent. Theory, 9:268–286 (electronic), 2005.
- [Zel80] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ . Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4),  $13(2):165-210,\ 1980.$